December X, 2016

The Honorable Lamar Alexander The Honorable Patty Murray

Chairman Ranking Member

Senate HELP Committee Senate HELP Committee

428 Dirksen Senate Office Building 428 Dirksen Senate Office Building

Washington, D.C. 20510 Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Chairman Alexander and Ranking Member Murray:

The National Coalition for Public Education (NCPE) writes to request that during the confirmation hearing for Betsy DeVos, the nominee for Secretary of the U.S. Department of Education, you inquire about her history of advocating for the use of public dollars to support private schools and that you seek clarity regarding her plans to support public education.

NCPE is a diverse coalition of national organizations that supports public schools and opposes funneling taxpayer funds to private schools. Our concerns regarding the nomination of Ms. DeVos stem from her statements that her goal as Secretary of Education will be to expand “school choice” options, including private school vouchers, for every child.[[1]](#footnote-1) This, coupled with her history of championing private school vouchers programs for many years, raises concerns among our coalition.

The U.S. Department of Education is the federal agency tasked with overseeing our nation’s public school system. As the head of the agency, Ms. DeVos’ role would be to promote effective education policies and programs and to direct resources to local school districts to improve the education of the 50.4 million students that attend public elementary and secondary schools in the United States. Even if vouchers were adopted, public education would remain our primary system; in states with voucher systems, most students would continue to attend public schools. It is incumbent upon our Secretary of Education, therefore, to ensure that all students have access to quality public schools.

Voucher programs are an ineffective and damaging education policy. First, they divert desperately needed resources away from the public school system to fund the education of a few voucher students in private, often religious, schools. In addition, study after study has shown that private school vouchers do not improve student achievement or provide greater opportunities for the low-income students they purport to serve.[[2]](#footnote-2) And among their many additional flaws, they lack accountability to taxpayers, deprive students of rights provided to public school students, and threaten religious liberty.

Calling vouchers “school choice” is a misnomer as they do not provide quality education choices to most families. Schools that accept vouchers are not required to admit all students. And studies show the voucher programs often fail to provide adequate, objective, and language-appropriate information to families in order to allow them to make such an important and critical decision for their child.[[3]](#footnote-3) This leaves any real choice with the private schools, rather than with the parents or students. Furthermore, vouchers are especially untenable in rural areas, where families do not usually have options aside from their local public school,[[4]](#footnote-4) and for families of children with disabilities, who are not always able to access the services and supports through voucher systems to meet their child’s needs.[[5]](#footnote-5)

Accordingly, we urge the Committee to thoroughly question Ms. DeVos both about her position on private school vouchers, as well as on what policies she will support to improve our nation’s public schools and ensure all students have access to high-quality and well-rounded educational opportunity that meet their needs. The taxpayers and students of this country deserve a Secretary who will ensure the Department of Education’s resources and personnel are directed towards improving educational outcomes of the 50 million students who attend public schools.

Sincerely,
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