ABOUT ASBO INTERNATIONAL
Founded in 1910, the Association of School Business Officials International (ASBO) is a nonprofit organization that, through its members and affiliates, represents approximately 30,000 school business professionals worldwide. ASBO International is committed to providing programs, services, and a global network that promote the highest standards in school business. Its members support student achievement through effective resource management in various areas ranging from finance and operations to food services and transportation.

ASBO International members are school business professionals who are the finance and operations decision makers in school systems. They manage budgeting, purchasing, facility maintenance, human resources, technology, transportation, food service, healthcare, and other aspects of education business and administration. School business professionals are trustworthy leaders who are committed to educating the whole child by effectively and efficiently managing educational resources to support student learning and overall well-being. As school business professionals strive to ensure that taxpayer resources are utilized wisely in their communities, create innovative school business management practices, and lead the profession forward, ASBO International is here to support them.

SCHOOLS AND STUDENTS NEED STRONG STATE AND FEDERAL SUPPORT
With a deep knowledge of school finance and operations, ASBO International and its members are uniquely qualified to help policymakers understand the enormous challenge of educating students and ensuring their success in a rapidly changing landscape, especially in the wake of the COVID-19 public health, economic, and education crisis.

ASBO International is concerned about the lack of stability in school finance today. A 2019 Pew Trust report found that more than a decade after the 2008 financial crisis and Great Recession, state K–12 education funding was still below pre-recession levels in 29 states, leaving many states vulnerable even before the pandemic occurred. While emergency federal funding from the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security (CARES) Act, Coronavirus Response and Relief Supplemental Appropriations Act (CRRSAA), and American Rescue Plan (ARP) have provided critical life support to districts to respond to COVID-19, strong local, state, and federal partnerships will be needed to ensure school communities can fully recover and build back better than they were before the pandemic.

Districts are struggling to offset ever-increasing educational costs with declining state and local revenues, especially during COVID-19. Unfunded mandates, rising labor and health expenses, inflation, and deteriorating facilities are just a few examples that also present a high opportunity cost. Every dollar spent to address these issues means fewer resources are available to reduce class sizes, retain quality educators, and improve education equity for all students. Districts will need support to address these issues, even in a post-COVID world.
ASBO INTERNATIONAL U.S. LEGISLATIVE BELIEFS STATEMENT

1. We believe targeted, robust federal funding with minimal administrative burden is an important part of a school system’s revenue.

We support fiscally responsible federal investment in education to supplement and support local efforts to ensure all students have equitable educational opportunities. Federal education funding must be protected and be a priority in federal budget conversations; education funding must not be cut for other federal priorities.

- We believe that federal support for schools in response to the COVID-19 pandemic must include a high bar for states asking to waive their maintenance of effort (MOE) requirement coupled with a need to ensure any MOE flexibility for states is similarly available for school districts.

We support that public dollars should fund public schools. Schools that receive public funding must adhere to the same rules for enrollment, academic standards, performance, equity, procurement, conflict of interest, accountability, and transparency. Privatization of education undermines our public school system and denies equitable educational opportunities for students. We oppose private school choice/voucher programs, which funnel taxpayer dollars away from public schools into private and/or parochial schools that are not held accountable to the same standards.

We support increased federal funding for education programs authorized by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA), Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), and Carl D. Perkins Career and Technical Education Act (Perkins/CTE). Congress should fully fund formula grants that reflect its commitment to supporting historically disadvantaged students, including low-income, minority, English Learner, and special education students.

- We believe Congress should prioritize funding formula grant programs over competitive grants in its education agenda. Formula grants represent a more stable, predictable source of funding for school districts, which require fiscal and financial stability to undertake the ambitious reforms often proposed by competitive grant programs. We seek federal support to eliminate red tape, bureaucracy, and overly stringent mandates associated with applying for and reporting on the use of federal grant dollars. Simplifying and streamlining federal grant processes will encourage schools to apply for available funds and free up school staff time and resources to focus more on student learning.

- We believe Congress should fully fund IDEA at 40% of the national average per-pupil expenditure (NAPPE) to address extra costs incurred when educating students with special needs and to fulfill Congress’ original promise to support students with disabilities. We believe that IDEA, Part B MOE requirements should conform to MOE requirements under ESEA/ESSA.
• We believe Congress and the Department of Education (ED) should provide narrow, targeted flexibilities to districts for meeting IDEA, Part B MOE requirements. Districts need reasonable accommodations to address this underfunded mandate, especially during the pandemic when circumstances may be beyond the district’s control (e.g., reduced expenses for student transportation due to COVID-19-related statewide school closures). Moreover, districts should have greater flexibility to reduce local MOE levels if the provision and quality of services for students with disabilities is unaffected.

• We believe Congress should increase funding for ESSA Titles I, II, III, and IV formula grants. ESSA provides state and local leaders flexibility and autonomy over their classrooms, but their success will ultimately depend on how well ESSA programs are funded. While we believe formula grants should take priority, we also support adequate funding for Titles II and IV competitive grants for quality professional development, after-school and extracurricular activities, and other vital programs.

• We believe Congress should increase funding for Perkins/CTE state grants to support education programs that offer alternative pathways for students to succeed and ensure our nation has a highly qualified workforce.

We support preserving funding for and strengthening the federal E-Rate program to help school communities bridge the digital divide or “homework gap” for those who lack reliable broadband access to effectively participate in and benefit from information technology for learning. We support expanding the list of eligible services for using E-Rate funds to address cybersecurity threats impacting schools and to improve digital equity and broadband access for students beyond the school building, at home and in their community.

• We believe that the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Rural Utilities Service (RUS) Telecommunications Program provides helpful loan and grant opportunities that can help narrow the digital divide for rural communities. RUS programs should be strengthened and preserved to incentivize broadband infrastructure investment and development in unserved and underserved areas so that rural communities can have access to more affordable and reliable services and benefit from improved educational, health, and employment opportunities.

44790 Maynard Square, Suite 200 | Ashburn, VA 20147 | P: 866.682.2729 | asbintl.org
2. We believe that federal funding and support are critical for schools to construct, maintain, and repair facilities, and to ensure that students have safe and healthy places to learn.

We support a fiscally responsible federal infrastructure plan that incorporates K–12 schools into its broader agenda. Federal investment in K–12 infrastructure should include, but not be limited to, direct state and local funding; competitive grants; investing in partnerships to support projects (e.g., public/private [PPP], federal and state/local, etc.); restoring tax-credit QZABs/QSCBs and tax-exempt advance refunding bonds (ARBs); and other innovative options to help schools sustainably construct, repair, and maintain facilities.

- We believe that school infrastructure and safety issues are inextricably linked; it is impossible to address one issue without addressing the other. Schools are charged with increasing responsibilities to protect student health and safety without receiving sufficient funding and support to accommodate new demands and emerging threats. Whether a school must improve facility conditions, increase physical or cyber security, or provide new learning spaces to meet personalized learning goals, these initiatives cost time and resources that most public school systems lack.

- We believe there are several areas of opportunity for the federal government to support schools in improving infrastructure and safety issues: 1) Addressing public and environmental health concerns (e.g., mitigating the spread of viruses and diseases, and addressing radon, lead, asbestos, mold, and other issues); 2) Improving school safety and climate (e.g., hardening facilities, hiring and training staff, providing social-emotional care and mental health services, addressing cyberbullying, etc.); and 3) Providing inclusive facilities to accommodate all students’ educational needs (e.g., accelerated learning and learning recovery programs, full-day PreK, CTE/vocational training, STEM classes and makerspaces, special education, etc.).

- We believe the federal government can help enhance district technology infrastructure and student data privacy by 1) updating federal laws and definitions to address the realities of the digital age, making it possible to protect data while ensuring appropriate use of student data for legitimate educational needs and reforms; and 2) ensuring funding to support school districts in ongoing efforts to respond to cybersecurity threats and breaches, including technology, training, and updates to infrastructure.

We support the reduction, simplification, and streamlining of grant application and reporting requirements for schools and districts to receive federal funding for K–12 infrastructure projects. Excessive administrative, paperwork, and compliance burdens relative to voluntary grant applications often deter school districts from applying for financial assistance. We welcome the opportunity to work with federal agencies and other K–12 stakeholders to ensure infrastructure grants and requirements are accessible and easily navigable for applicants.
• We believe that the burdensome application process for the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Public Assistance (PA) program must be streamlined to provide districts with quicker and easier access to desperately needed funds so that students may safely return to school after a disaster has occurred. The federal program must also be updated to respond to many different types of disasters in a more flexible and effective manner, including epidemics and pandemics. In addition, we urge FEMA to provide information and technical support regarding other emergency assistance programs available that can help school communities effectively respond to and recover from various emergencies that may be impacting their area.

• We believe that FEMA’s strict procurement requirements inhibit recovery efforts when districts are challenged to find available contractors and must delay facility repairs to endure the time required to comply with competitive-bidding procurement rules. We strongly advise FEMA to accept whatever procurement method the state has adopted as a default. In addition, FEMA's current reimbursement policies are too restrictive and undermine the PA program’s effectiveness to help communities recover from crises. Reimbursement requirements should be more flexible and adaptable to quickly address immediate emergency needs.
3. We believe that schools require a sustainable nutrition program business model with minimal administrative burden that provides affordable, nutritious meals that students will enjoy.

**We support** efforts by the federal government to reduce, simplify, and streamline regulations for child nutrition programs to reduce the administrative burdens and costs for managing school meal programs. We believe the federal government should play a supportive, flexible role in helping states and school districts comply with nutrition standards and offer quality non-regulatory guidance, technical support, and professional development for administering meal programs.

- We believe the federal reimbursement rates for school meals should be increased to ensure school nutrition programs are financially sustainable, and that reimbursements should be provided to schools when federal food service requirements result in a loss of revenue. We urge the federal government to refrain from increasing administrative burdens related to nutrition eligibility verification as well.

**We support** having the federal government play a supportive rather than regulatory role in helping states and districts solve unpaid meal debt and “lunch shaming” issues rather than increasing regulations and restricting local autonomy. It is not the school district’s goal to shame students or their families if they incur a negative meal account balance, but rather to work with them to resolve unpaid debts.

- We believe the USDA and other federal agencies can provide non-regulatory guidance and resources to help states, districts, and schools develop fiscally sound and fair policies to recover costs, collect debt, eliminate stigmas from serving and eating free/reduced-price meals, and still ensure students have access to affordable and nutritious food.

**We oppose** nutrition reforms that would adversely affect the financial structure of, federal funding streams for, or student access to, child nutrition and school meal programs. This includes capping federal payments to states for school meal programs via block grants, increasing the eligibility threshold for schools and districts to enroll in the Community Eligibility Provision (CEP) program, and other reforms that would have adverse effects for students and districts regarding direct certification for school meal programs.

- We believe that capping funding streams via state block grants will reduce funding for schools to administer meal programs. (Block grants would provide states fixed funding in lieu of federal reimbursements per eligible meal served.) Block grants do not provide states relief in the event of a recession, rising child poverty, increases in enrollment/meal program participation, and rising food prices and school meal costs.
• We believe that the CEP is an effective federal program that enables high-poverty schools and districts to provide meals to all students at no charge, improves meal program participation and efficiency, and reduces paperwork burdens for K–12 districts. A school or district is CEP-eligible to serve all students school meals at no charge if 40% or more of its students are eligible for free or reduced-price meals. We not only oppose any increases to this eligibility threshold, but support lowering it so that more low-income schools and students can access affordable and nutritious meals through the program.

We support a universal meal policy, provided that it would not harm eligibility for, and enrollment in, existing federal funding streams serving schools, and would fully cover costs associated with operating the program in schools.
4. We believe that schools need adequate federal support, flexibility, and funding to implement federal healthcare regulations.

**We support** federal efforts to increase the amount of funding and quality of training, technical support, and other guidance and resources to assist school systems with implementing healthcare regulations. We welcome any opportunity to work with federal agencies, including the Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), and non-federal public health and education stakeholders to improve regulations and services affecting our nation's school systems.

**We support** the reduction, simplification, and streamlining of current Affordable Care Act (ACA) regulations to reduce the administrative burden and costs to school systems to comply with the law. We support changing the definition of a full-time employee (FTE) who qualifies for health insurance from an individual who works an average of 30 hours/week to 35 hours/week, and exempting substitute teachers and other variable-hour employees from coverage under the ACA. We urge lawmakers to refrain from reinstating costly, burdensome requirements that would be difficult for school district employers to implement.

**We oppose** healthcare reforms that would adversely affect the financial structure or funding streams of the federal-state Medicaid and Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP). This includes limiting federal payments to states via per-capita caps based on enrollment or block grants, neither of which provide states relief in the event of a recession, public health crisis, or other emergency.

- We believe that capping or reducing Medicaid and/or CHIP funding to states hinders states’ ability to reimburse Medicaid-eligible service providers, including hospitals, clinics, and schools. Schools rely on $4 billion annually in Medicaid dollars to provide vital student health services to children, especially those with disabilities and from low-income families that cannot afford access to these services elsewhere.

**We support** federal efforts to prioritize school personnel (e.g., teachers, specialists, aides, food service and custodial staff, bus drivers, administrators, and other professionals who serve our nation's schools) for access to COVID-19 vaccinations. Providing schools priority access is critical for reopening safely and instilling public confidence in a nationwide vaccination program.
ASBO INTERNATIONAL AND OUR MEMBERS ARE WILLING PARTNERS

ASBO International and its members offer our experience, expertise, and interest in creating a world-class education system for all students. We welcome the opportunity to work with federal policymakers in meeting the significant financial and operational challenges that schools face.

ASBO International’s Legislative Advisory Committee (LAC)

Chair:
Sharie Lewis, CPA, Director of Business Services and Operations, Parkrose School District, OR

Vice Chair:
Karen Smith, CPA, RTSBA, CIA, Chief Financial Officer, Cypress-Fairbanks Independent School District, TX

Practitioners:
• Anthony N. Dragona, EdD, RSBA, School Business Administrator, Union City Public Schools, NJ
• Kelley Kitchen, Executive Director of Finance, Goshen Community Schools, IN
• Martin Romine, SFO, Chief Financial Officer, Zuni Public School District 89, NM
• Jeffrey Hollamon, CPA, Associate Superintendent/Chief Financial Officer, Onslow County Board of Education, NC
• Trisha Schock, Executive Director for Administrative Services, North Central Educational Service District 171, WA

Legal Experts:
• Christopher Borreca, Attorney and Founding Partner, Thompson & Horton LLP, TX
• Tom Tatun, Deputy Director of Government Relations, New York ASBO, NY

Affiliate Liaison:
Dennis Costerison, RSBO, Executive Director, Indiana ASBO, IN

Board Liaison:
Claire Hertz, SFO, Immediate Past President, ASBO International, OR

CEO/Executive Director:
David J. Lewis, Executive Director, ASBO International, VA

Staff Liaison:
Elleka Yost, Director of Advocacy, ASBO International, VA

Questions? Contact Elleka Yost, ASBO International Director of Advocacy, at eyost@asbointl.org.